



I. Introduction & Background

The following information is a summary report of the academic integrity cases received during the 20## - 20## academic year. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy and completeness of this data, it is important to note that there may be some errors or inconsistencies. These could arise from various factors such as data entry errors, reporting discrepancies, or updates to case statuses after the data was compiled. We recommend interpreting the findings with these potential limitations in mind. We are continually working to improve our data collection and reporting processes to provide the most accurate and up-to-date information possible. For additional information on data collection and categorization see the Glossary on the AIO website.

Total Reports Received Over the Past 5 Years	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25
	1719	1539	1028	1381	1035

Total Reports Received by Quarter for 2024- 2025	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*
	295	333	321	28	52	9

II. Sanctioning Guidelines

The Administrative Sanctioning Guidelines aim to establish a structured, consistent, and transparent approach to decision-making, emphasizing desired behaviors and allowing for professional judgment. Disciplinary actions are based on a point value system derived from objective criteria. The focus is to provide an objective baseline for disciplinary actions and counsel students on the importance of academic integrity and the escalating severity of violations throughout their academic and professional progression.

Violation History	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
1st	271	311	284	26	47	6	91%
2nd	20	21	36	2	4	3	8%
3rd+	3	1	1	0	1	0	1%

Violation Type	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Minor	115	100	86	11	12	1	31%
Moderate	90	101	103	11	12	1	31%
Serious	54	91	78	2	22	4	24%
Egregious	35	41	54	4	6	3	14%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Minor	Total	%
HW/Assignment Misconduct	169	52%
Limited Plagiarism / Self-Plagiarism	76	23%
Improper Use of GenAI	50	15%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Moderate	Total	%
Editing Help (GenAI)	186	58%
Minor on Multi Assessments (HW/Assignment)	66	21%
Extensive Plagiarism	42	13%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Serious	Total	%
Exam (>10%)	129	52%
GenAI	105	42%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Egregious	Total	%
Contract Cheating (GenAI)	92	64%
Multiple Exams	21	15%

Student Academic Level	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Undergraduate	272	308	272	28	52	7	91%
Masters	22	23	49	0	0	0	9%
Ph.D.	0	2	0	0	0	2	0%

Proposed Disciplinary Action	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Warning	93	91	40	11	10	1	24%
Disciplinary Probation	91	89	122	10	12	1	31%
Deferred Suspension w/ IMP	48	84	73	1	19	2	22%
Quarter Suspension	49	49	53	4	8	0	16%
Year Suspension	5	15	23	2	2	2	5%
Dismissal	8	5	8	0	1	3	2%

III. Resolution Meetings & Outcomes of Academic Integrity Allegations

Except in the event of an Instructor-Student Resolution, once a student has been reported to the AIO, the case is assigned to a Resolution Appropriate Administrative Authority (Resolution AAA) who notifies the student to schedule a Resolution Meeting. At this meeting, there are three (3) possible outcomes:

1. the student accepts responsibility or fails to schedule their meeting and is assumed to have accepted responsibility;
2. the student does not accept responsibility but the evidence is sufficient, so the case proceeds to the [Academic Integrity Review](#) process. In this situation, it will be the AI Review Panel who will make a decision of the case, either holding the student responsible for an academic integrity violation or not;
3. the student does not accept responsibility and presents some relevant evidence that the Resolution AAA shares with the Instructor who subsequently withdraws the allegation.

Resolution AAA Type	College Dean of Student Affairs	College Student Care & Accountability Specialists	Academic Integrity Office
	142 (14%)	68 (7%)	823 (80%)

Overall Resolution Outcome		%
Responsible	874	84%
Not Responsible	147	14%

Total Cases by Assigned Resolution AAA Type			%
Informal Resolution	Accepted Responsibility	779	75%
	<i>I-S Resolution</i>	52	5%
	<i>Failure to Respond</i>	52	5%
	Allegation Withdrawn	113	11%
Formal Resolution	Contested the Allegation	143	14%
	<i>Held Responsible</i>	95	9%
	<i>Held Not Responsible</i>	34	3%

Assigned Disciplinary Action	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Warning	157	137	143	16	21	2	55%
Disciplinary Probation	48	67	80	4	8	0	24%
Deferred Suspension w/ IMP	31	40	35	4	8	2	14%
Quarter Suspension	11	14	18	1	1	3	6%
Year Suspension	5	4	7	0	2	1	2%
Dismissal	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%

Business Days to Resolve	Average	Min	Max
Accepted Responsibility	13	1	117
Failure to Respond	26	11	81
Allegation Withdrawn	21	2	69
AI Review Board	93	33	166
<i>AIR I</i>	82	33	124
<i>AIR II</i>	101	41	166

IV. Appeals

During the AI process, students may be eligible for three types of appeals: (1) AI Review Board Decision; (2) Administrative Sanction (if suspension or dismissal is assigned); (3) Failure to Respond. Students must meet specific criteria to be eligible for each appeal type and only one appeal may be submitted per type. Once a decision has been made, the outcome is final. Learn more about [appeals on the AIO website](#).

Total Appeals Received by Type	AIRB Appeal	Sanction Appeal	Failure to Respond
	33	31	19

AI Review Board Appeal Outcomes						
Type	AIR Decision Upheld	%	New AIR Granted	%	No Appeal Received	%
AIR I	12	25%	0	0%	36	75%
AIR II	21	38%	0	0%	35	63%

Sanction Appeal Outcomes								
Type	Denied (No Change)	%	Reduced	%	Qtr Change	%	No Appeal Received	%
Deferred Susp w/ IMP	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	120	99%
Quarter Suspension	19	36%	1	2%	0	0%	33	62%
Year Suspension	6	27%	3	14%	0	0%	13	59%
Dismissal	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%

Failure to Respond Appeal Outcomes					
Denied	%	Granted	%	No Appeal Received	%
12	20%	8	14%	40	68%